

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,384	01/24/2005	Stephan Andreas Schunk	975902600092	7210
Stephen D Scar	7590 10/03/2007	•	EXAM	INER
Jones Day			WIESE, NOAH S	
North Point 901 Lakeside A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH 44114			1709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/505,384	SCHUNK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Noah S. Wiese	1709			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1				
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS not firm may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the street and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/20/	<u>′2004</u> .				
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaatian raquiromant				
8)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	erection requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau		ad			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receiv	eu.			
Attachmen	• •	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	W (DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail C	Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

1. The claims 1-26 are pending and presented for the examination.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-6 and 22-26, drawn to a monolithic ceramic material and uses of a monolithic ceramic material.

Group II, claims 7-21, drawn to a process for producing a monolithic ceramic material.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature that the groups share, which is an at least partially decomposable monolithic ceramic material having micropores and mesopores and/or macropores, is not novel in such a way as to constitute a contribution over the prior art of record. Therefore, the technical feature is not a special technical feature, and the requirements for unity of inventions are not met.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,384 Page 3

Art Unit: 1709

4. The technical feature of the instant application is an at least partially decomposable monolithic ceramic material having micropores and mesopores and/or macropores. Klein et al (Effect of sintering temperature on silica gels and their bone bonding ability) teaches methods of processing silica gels for use in cortical bone, wherein said silica gels have micropores and mesopores (see page 716) and are bioactive, indicating that they are at least partially broken down (decomposed) by the body (see page 717). Because the limitations of the technical feature are met by Klein et al, the feature is not a special technical feature, and the requirements for unity of invention are not met. Therefore, restriction can be required.

Rejoining practice

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable,

Application/Control Number: 10/505,384

Art Unit: 1709

the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,384

Art Unit: 1709

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 8. Restriction to one of the two groups is required.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah S. Wiese whose telephone number is 571-270-3596. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on 571-272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1709

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Noah Wiese September 28th, 2007 AU 1709 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER